arXiv:hep-th/0301074v1 13 Jan 2003

Nonperturbative low-energy effective action of the universal hypermultiplet

Sergei V. Ketov

Department of Physics
Tokyo Metropolitan University
Hachioji, Tokyo 192–0397, Japan
ketov@phys.metro-u.ac.jp

Abstract

The non-perturbative (D-instanton corrected) low-energy effective action of the universal hypermultiplet in the type-IIA string theory compactified on a Calabi-Yau threefold is calculated in 4-dim, N=2 supergravity. The action is given by the quaternionic non-linear sigma-model whose metric is governed by the Eisenstein series $E_{3/2}$. The $U(1) \times U(1)$ isometry and the $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ modular invariance play the key role in our construction.

A calculation of instanton corrections in compactified superstrings is crucial for solving the fundamental problems of vacuum degeneracy and supersymmetry breaking. Some instanton corrections in the type-IIA superstring theory compactified on a *Calabi-Yau* (CY) threefold arise due to the Euclidean D2-branes wrapped about the CY special three-cycles [1]. Being the BPS solutions to the Euclidean ten-dimensional supergravity equations of motion, these wrapped branes are localized in four uncompactified spacetime dimensions. They are called D-instantons. The D-instanton action is given by the volume of the supersymmetric 3-cycle on which a D2-brane is wrapped. The supersymmetric cycles (by definition) minimize volume in their homology class.

At the level of the *Low-Energy Effective Action* (LEEA), the effective field theory is given by the four-dimensional (4d), N=2 supergravity with some N=2 vector- and hyper-multiplets, whose structure is dictated by the CY cohomology, and whose moduli spaces are independent. The hypermultiplet sector of the LEEA is described by a 4d, N=2 *Non-Linear Sigma-Model* (NLSM) with a quaternionic metric in the NLSM target (moduli) space [2]. Any CY compactification gives rise to the so-called *Universal Hypermultiplet* (UH) in 4d, which contains a dilaton amongst its field components.

The bosonic part of the classical NLSM Lagrangian of UH in terms of the scalar fields (a dilaton ϕ, an axion D, and a complex RR-scalar C) reads

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\rm FS} = (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 + e^{2\phi}\,|\partial_\mu C|^2 + e^{4\phi}(\partial_\mu D + \tfrac{i}{2}\bar{C}\overleftrightarrow{\partial_\mu} C)^2 \ . \qquad (1)$$

The metric of this NLSM is diffeomorphism-equivalent to the standard (quaternionic) Bergmann metric on $SU(2,1)/U(2)$. Equation (1) was derived [3] by compactifying the 10d type-IIA supergravity on a CY threefold in the universal (UH) sector down to four spacetime timensions, $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$. The metric (1) can be trusted as long as the string coupling is not strong.

The absence of *perturbative* superstring (loop) corrections to the local UH metric was proved in ref. [4]. It is of considerable interest to calculate the UH *non*-perturbative NLSM metric by including all D-instanton corrections. Witten [5] showed that the D-instanton quantum corrections are given by powers of $e^{-1/g_{\rm string}}$, where $g_{\rm string}$ is the type-II superstring coupling constant. An explicit non-perturbative solution to the UH metric, with all the D-instanton contributions included, was first constructed in ref. [6]. We briefly describe this solution below.

Quantum corrections generically break all the continuous $SU(2,1)$ symmetries of the UH classical NLSM down to a discrete subgroup because of charge quantization [1]. As was demonstrated by Strominger [4], there is no non-trivial quaternionic deformation of the classical metric (1) in the superstring perturbation theory when *all* the Peccei-Quinn-type symmetries (with three real parameters (α, β, γ)),

$$D \to D + \alpha \ , \quad C \to C + \gamma - i\beta \ , \quad S \to S + 2(\gamma + i\beta)C + \gamma^2 + \beta^2 \ , \qquad (2)$$

remain unbroken. However, *some* of the Peccei-Quinn-type symmetries (for example, the one associated with constant shifts of the RR scalar C) can be broken non-perturbatively (namely, by D-instantons) [7]. The abelian isometry, associated with constant shifts of the axionic D-field, is preserved when one considers D-instantons only, while five-brane instantons are suppressed. The abelian rotations of the C field are also preserved by D-instantons [8]. Our assumption of the $U(1) \times U(1)$ isometry of the non-perturbative UH moduli space metric is consistent with the known $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry of the Ooguri-Vafa solution [9] describing the D-instanton corrections to a matter hypermultiplet. Further support comes from the type-IIB side, where the N=2 *double-tensor* multiplet version of UH has to be used in the Euclidean path-integral approach to D-instantons [10]. The Euclidean N=2 double-tensor multiplet action is bounded from below, while its $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry (after dualization of two tensors into scalars) is protected against all quantum corrections [10].

As regards real *four*-dimensional quaternionic manifolds (relevant to the UH), they all have *Einstein-Weyl* geometry of *negative* scalar curvature,

$$W^{-}_{abcd} = 0 \ , \qquad R_{ab} = -\frac{\Lambda}{2} g_{ab} \ , \tag{3}$$

where W_{abcd} is the Weyl tensor, R_{ab} is the Ricci tensor of the metric g_{ab}, and the constant $\Lambda > 0$ is proportional to the gravitational coupling constant.

It is the theorem [11] that *any* four-dimensional Einstein-Weyl metric (of non-vanishing scalar curvature) with two linearly independent Killing vectors can be written down in the form

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{\rm CP} \ &= \ \frac{4\rho^2(F_\rho^2+F_\eta^2)-F^2}{4F^2}\left(\frac{d\rho^2+d\eta^2}{\rho^2}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{[(F-2\rho F_\rho)\hat\alpha - 2\rho F_\eta\hat\beta]^2 + [-2\rho F_\eta\hat\alpha + (F+2\rho F_\rho)\hat\beta]^2}{F^2[4\rho^2(F_\rho^2+F_\eta^2)-F^2]} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

in some local coordinates $(\rho, \eta, \theta, \psi)$ inside an open region of the half-space $\rho > 0$, where ∂_θ and ∂_ψ are the two Killing vectors, the one-forms $\hat\alpha$ and $\hat\beta$ are given by

$$\hat\alpha = \sqrt{\rho}\, d\theta \quad \text{and} \quad \hat\beta = \frac{d\psi + \eta d\theta}{\sqrt{\rho}} \ , \tag{5}$$

while the whole metric (4) is governed by the function (= *pre-potential*) $F(\rho, \eta)$ obeying a linear differential equation,

$$\Delta_{\mathcal H} F \equiv \rho^2 \left(\partial_\rho^2 + \partial_\eta^2\right) F = \frac{3}{4} F \ . \tag{6}$$

Equation (4) is thus a consequence of 4d, local N=2 supersymmetry. It is also remarkable and highly non-trivial that the *linear* equation (6) governs the solutions to the highly non-linear Einstein-Weyl system (3), given an $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry.

The linear equation (6) means that the pre-potential F is a local eigenfunction (of the eigenvalue 3/4) of the two-dimensional Laplace-Beltrami operator

$$\Delta_{\mathcal H} = \rho^2(\partial_\rho^2 + \partial_\eta^2) \tag{7}$$

on the hyperbolic plane $\mathcal H$ equipped with the metric

$$ds^2_{\mathcal H} = \frac{1}{\rho^2}(d\rho^2 + d\eta^2) \ , \quad \rho > 0 \ . \tag{8}$$

The metric (8) in the hyperbolic plane $\mathcal H$ is invariant under the action of the isometry group $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ isomorphic to $SU(1,1)$. The linearity of eq. (6) allows a superposition

of any two solutions to form yet another solution. In physical terms, this means that D-instantons have the cluster decomposition.

For example, the pre-potential F of the classical FS metric (1) is given by [6]

$$F_{\rm FS}(\rho,\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\left\{\sqrt{\eta^2+\rho^2} + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{(\eta-1)^2+\rho^2} + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{(\eta+1)^2+\rho^2}\right\} \quad . \tag{9}$$

The simplest ('basic') solutions to eq. (6) are given by power functions,

$$P_s(\rho,\eta) = \rho^s \ . \tag{10}$$

Substituting eq. (10) into eq. (6) yields a quadratic equation, $s(s-1) = 3/4$, whose only solutions are

$$s_1 = 3/2 \quad \text{and} \quad s_2 = -1/2 \ . \tag{11}$$

Yet another 'basic' solution to eq. (6) is given by [11]

$$\sqrt{\rho + \frac{\eta^2}{\rho}} \quad . \tag{12}$$

The $SL(2,\mathbf{Z})$ duality is supposed to be the exact symmetry of the compactified four-dimensional N=2 superstrings. The action of $SL(2,\mathbf{Z})$ in the hyperbolic plane $\mathcal{H}$ is given by

$$\tau \ \rightarrow \ \hat{\gamma}\tau = \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d} \ , \quad (a,b,c,d) \in \mathbf{Z} \ , \quad ad-bc = 1 \ , \tag{13}$$

where the complex coordinate

$$\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2 \equiv \eta + i\rho \tag{14}$$

has been introduced. In terms of τ, the basic solutions take the form

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{{\rm Im}\,\tau}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_2}} \ , \tag{15a}$$

$$\rho^{3/2} = ({\rm Im}\,\tau)^{3/2} = (\tau_2)^{3/2} \ , \tag{15b}$$

and

$$\sqrt{\rho + \frac{\eta^2}{\rho}} = \sqrt{\frac{\tau\bar{\tau}}{{\rm Im}\,\tau}} = \frac{|\tau|}{\sqrt{\tau_2}} \ , \tag{15c}$$

where $|\tau|^2 = \tau\bar{\tau} = \tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2 = \rho^2 + \eta^2$.

The most general $SL(2,\mathbf{Z})$-invariant solution to eq. (6) is obtained by applying the discrete duality transformations (13) to all basic solutions (15) and summing over all these transformations (modulo a stability subgroup of each basic solution).

As regards the power solutions (15a) and (15b), summing them over the $SL(2,\mathbf{Z})$ gives rise to the non-holomorphic modular (automorphic) forms called the Eisenstein series [12],

$$E_s(\tau,\bar\tau) = \sum_{\hat\gamma\in\widetilde{SL(2,\mathbf{Z})}} P_s(\hat\gamma\tau,\overline{\hat\gamma\tau}) \ , \tag{16}$$

with $s=-1/2$ and $s=3/2$, respectively. To be well defined, the sum in eq. (16) has to be limited to the quotient $\widetilde{SL(2,\mathbf{Z})} = SL(2,\mathbf{Z}/\Gamma_\infty$ with the stabilizer

$$\Gamma_\infty = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & * \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbf{Z}) \right\} \ . \tag{17}$$

In the case of $\mathrm{Re}\, s > 1$, the Eisenstein series (16) is given by [12]

$$E_s(\tau,\bar\tau) = \frac{1}{2}\tau_2^s \sum_{(p,n)=1} \frac{1}{|p+n\tau|^{2s}} = \tau_2^s + \tau_2^s \sum_{\substack{(p,n)=1 \\ n\geq 1}} \frac{1}{|p+n\tau|^{2s}} \ , \tag{18}$$

where (p,n) is the greatest common divisor of p and n. The infinite sum (18) can be interpreted as the contributions from the D-instantons of discrete energy p and discrete charge n (see below). The duality-invariant sum of the basic solutions (15b) is thus given by the Eisenstein series (16) of $s=3/2$.

The Eisenstein series (as the functions of s) satisfy the functional equation [12]

$$\Lambda(s) E_s(\tau,\bar\tau) = \Lambda(1-s) E_{1-s}(\tau,\bar\tau) \ , \tag{19}$$

where

$$\Lambda(s) = \pi^{-s}\Gamma(s)\zeta(2s) \ . \tag{20}$$

In particular, in the case of $s=3/2$, eqs. (19) and (20) imply

$$E_{-1/2}(\tau,\bar\tau) = \frac{3\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} E_{3/2}(\tau,\bar\tau) \ . \tag{21}$$

Therefore, the duality-invariant sum over the basic solutions (15a) yields *the same* result as that of eq. (15b), namely, the Eisenstein series $E_{3/2}(\tau,\bar\tau)$.

As regards the sum of (15c) over the $SL(2,\mathbf{Z})$, it also gives rise to *the same* function proportional to $E_{3/2}(\tau,\bar\tau)$. This can be most easily seen by noticing that the $SL(2,\mathbf{Z})$ transformations are generated by the T-duality transformation, $\eta \to \eta + 1$, and the S-duality transformation, $\mathrm{S}: \ \tau \ \to \ -\frac{1}{\tau}$. When being applied to the basic solution (15a), the S-duality yields the basic solution (15c):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Im}\,\tau}} \ \stackrel{\mathrm{S}}{\longrightarrow} \ \frac{|\tau|}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Im}\,\tau}} = \sqrt{\rho + \frac{\eta^2}{\rho}} \ . \tag{22}$$

The Eisenstein series $E_s(\rho,\eta)$ has a Fourier series expansion [12]

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(s)E_s(\rho,\eta) &= \rho^s\Lambda(s)+\rho^{1-s}\Lambda(1-s)\\ &\quad +2\rho^{1/2}\sum_{m\neq 0}|m|^{s-1/2}\,\sigma_{1-2s}(m)K_{s-1/2}(2\pi\,|m|\,\rho)e^{2\pi im\eta}\quad .\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

Here $\sigma_s(m)$ is the so-called divisor function

$$\sigma_s(m)=\sum_{0<d|m} d^s\ ,\tag{24}$$

where the sum runs over all positive divisors d of m. In the case of $s=3/2$, eq. (23) can be put into the form

$$4\pi E_{3/2}(\rho,\eta)=2\zeta(3)\rho^{3/2}+\frac{2\pi^2}{3}\rho^{-1/2}+8\pi\rho^{1/2}\sum_{\substack{m\neq 0\\ n\geq 1}}\left|\frac{m}{n}\right|e^{2\pi imn\eta}K_1(2\pi\,|mn|\,\rho)\ ,\tag{25}$$

where $\zeta(3)=\sum_{m>0}(1/m)^3$. The asymptotical expansion of the function (25) for large ρ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}4\pi E_{3/2}(\rho,\eta) =& 2\zeta(3)\rho^{3/2}+\frac{2\pi^2}{3}\rho^{-1/2}+4\pi^{3/2}\sum_{m,n\geq 1}\left(\frac{m}{n^3}\right)^{1/2}\left[e^{2\pi imn(\eta+i\rho)}+e^{-2\pi imn(\eta-i\rho)}\right]\\ &\times\left[1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma(k-1/2)}{\Gamma(-k-1/2)}\,\frac{1}{(4\pi mn\rho)^k}\right]\ .\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

We conclude that the infinite $SL(2,\mathbf{Z})$-invariant D-instanton sum of all basic solutions (15) is always proportional to the Eisenstein series $E_{3/2}(\tau,\bar\tau)$. Hence, the potential F of the UH metric with all the D-instanton contributions included is proportional to $E_{3/2}(\tau,\bar\tau)$ too. Our quaternionic D-instanton sum in the form of the Eisenstein series is a consequence of local N=2 supersymmetry, toric isometry $U(1)\times U(1)$ and $SL(2,\mathbf{Z})$ duality.

The Eisenstein series (25) also appears in the exact non-perturbative description of the R^4 couplings in the ten-dimensional type-IIB superstrings [13, 14]. When being expanded in the form (26), it amounts to the infinite sum of the tree level, one-loop, and D-instanton contributions, respectively. It is therefore conceivable that the non-perturbative UH moduli space metric with the D-instanton contributions is completely determined by the exact R^4 couplings in the ten-dimensional type-IIB superstrings, similarly to the known case of the one-loop (locally trivial) contribution [15]. The exact R^4 couplings in the ten-dimensional type-IIB superstrings are dictated by *the same* equation (3.17) [16, 17].

References

[1] K. Becker, M. Becker and A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. **B456** (1995) 130

[2] J. Bagger and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B222** (1983) 1

[3] S. Ferrara and S. Sabharwal, Class. and Quantum Grav. **6** (1989) L77; Nucl. Phys. **B332** (1990) 317

[4] A. Strominger, Phys. Lett. **421B** (1998) 139

[5] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B474** (1996) 343

[6] S. V. Ketov, *D-Instantons and universal hypermultiplet*, CITUSC preprint 01–046 [hep-th/0112012], unpublished; Nucl. Phys. **B649** (2003) 365

[7] S. V. Ketov, Nucl. Phys. **B604** (2001) 256

[8] S. V. Ketov, Phys. Lett. **504B** (2001) 262

[9] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996) 3298

[10] U. Theis and S. Vandoren, JHEP 0209 (2002) 059

[11] D. M. J. Calderbank and H. Pedersen, J. Diff. Geom. **60** (2002) 485

[12] A. Terras, *Harmonic Analysis on Symmetric Spaces and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, 1985, p.p. 204–228

[13] M. B. Green and M. Gutperle, Nucl. Phys. **B498** (1997) 195

[14] M. B. Green, M. Gutperle and P. Vanhove, Phys. Lett. **408B** (1997) 122; *ibid.* **409B** (1997) 177

[15] P. Candelas, X.C. de la Ossa, P.C. Green and L. Parkes, Nucl. Phys. **B459** (1991) 21

[16] M. B. Green and S. Sethi, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 046006

[17] B. Piolline, Phys. Lett. **431B** (1998) 73.